

JACQUELINE M. JAMES, ESQ.

THE JAMES LAW FIRM
445 HAMILTON AVENUE
SUITE 1102
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601

T: (914) 358 6423
F: (914) 358 6424
JJAMES@JACQUELINEJAMESLAW.COM
JACQUELINEJAMESLAW.COM

February 3, 2025

The Honorable Edgardo Ramos
Thurgood Marshall
United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

MEMO ENDORSED

at page 2

Re: 1:24-cv-08970-JPC; Plaintiff's Request for an Extension of Time Within Which to Effectuate Service on Defendant

Dear Judge Ramos,

The James Law Firm, PLLC represents Plaintiff in the above captioned matter. This matter has been filed as a John Doe against the internet subscriber assigned the referenced IP address. Plaintiff respectfully requests an extension of the time within which to effectuate service on John Doe.

On November 23, 2024, Plaintiff filed the instant case against John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 71.247.214.93 claiming Defendant's direct infringement of Plaintiff's works through BitTorrent protocol.

On December 18, 2024, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Leave to Serve a Third-Party Subpoena Prior to a Rule 26(f) Conference ("Motion for Leave") in order to serve a third party subpoena on Defendant's ISP.

On December 20, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to serve a third-party subpoena. Plaintiff served Defendant's ISP with a third-party subpoena on or about December 31, 2024, and in accordance with the time allowances provided to both the ISP and Defendant, expects to receive the ISP response on or about April 30, 2025.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 4(m), Plaintiff is required to effectuate service on the Defendant no later than February 21, 2025.

Because Plaintiff does not expect to receive the ISP response until April 30, 2025, and Defendant's identity is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, Plaintiff is unable to comply with the current deadline to effect service of process.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the deadline to effect service be extended for sixty (60) days from April 30, 2025 (the date Plaintiff expects to receive the ISP response), and thus the deadline to effect service be extended to June 29, 2025. This extension should allow Plaintiff time to receive the ISP response, to conduct a further investigation to assist in determining whether the individual identified by the ISP is the appropriate defendant for this action, and, if a good faith basis continues to exist, to proceed against that individual (or someone else), amend the Complaint to name the Defendant and attempt to effect service of process on that individual.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the time within which it has to effectuate service of the summons and Amended Complaint on Defendant be extended to June 29, 2025.

The request is granted. The deadline for Strike 3 to effectuate service on the Defendant is extended to June 29, 2025.

SO ORDERED.



Edgardo Ramos, U.S.D.J.

Dated: February 5, 2025

New York, New York

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/Jacqueline M. James

Jacqueline M. James, Esq. (1845)

The James Law Firm, PLLC

445 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 1102

White Plains, New York 10601

T: 914-358-6423

F: 914-358-6424

jjames@jacquelinejameslaw.com